

## Performance Measures - For Programs Directly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Minnelaha County - Hocking Division		Dates Covered:					Total	3 Year Summary
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	07/10-06/11		
<b>Output Measures</b>								
<b>Number of program youth served:</b> An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years.		14						
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program youth during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.		288						
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.								
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served		666						
B. Number of cases closed		4						
C. A/B		166.5						
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.		40						
<b>Outcome Measures</b>								
<b>Number and percent of program youth who re-offend:</b> The number of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.								
A. Number of program youth with a new offense		2						
B. Number of youth in program		14						
C. Percent (A/B)		0.1428571						
<b>Number and percent of program youth completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.								
A. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements		1						
B. Total number of youth who exited the program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully).		4						
C. Percent (A/B)		0.25						
<b>Number and percent of program youth satisfied with program:</b> The number of program youth who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report data collected using program evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.								
A. Number of program youth satisfied with the program during the reporting period		13						
B. Total number of program youth served by the program during the reporting period		14						
C. Percent (A/B)		0.928571429						
<b>Number and percent of program youth exhibiting desired change in targeted behavior:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in behavior during the reporting period. Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources.								
<b>Antisocial Behavior</b>								
<b>Short Term - During the Reporting Period</b>								
A. Number of program youth served during the program period with the noted change		14						
B. Total number of youth served during the reporting period		14						
C. Percent (A/B)		100%						
<b>Long Term - 6 to 12 Months after exiting program</b>								
A. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had noted change		7						
B. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago		7						
C. Percent (A/B)		100.00%						

**Minnehaha County - Hocoka Diversion**

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1:** Decrease the number of Native American youth referred to the court for CHINS offenses.

Eighty six percent of the youth participating in the Hocoka group during this time have shown no further court offenses. NOTE: 3 year numbers are not included in this report because the terms of the grant changed significantly over last year. Outreach and Diversion are now identified as two separate programs.

**Goal 2:** Increase the number of youth who makes progress toward personal goals established with the help of the Hocoka program.

Eight youth were referred for anti-social behavior, four were referred for truancy, one for substance abuse, and one for family relationships. All have shown progress toward personal goals established in these areas. However, one youth referred for anti-social behavior and one youth referred for substance abuse, offended in other areas not related to their referral. For example, the youth that had substance abuse problems did not reoffend for substance abuse, but had an anti-social behavior.

Despite the fact that two youth did not successfully complete the program and did reoffend, they were able to achieve the short term personal goals. A third youth was removed from the program unrelated to his behaviors by his parent but has not reoffended.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Minnelaha County - Native American Outreach Programs		Dates Covered:					3 Year
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Summary
		07-09/10	10-12/10	01-03/11	04-06/11	07/10-06/11	
<b>Output Measures</b>							
<b>Number of participants served:</b> An unduplicated count of the participants served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the participants served for a reporting period is the number of program participants carried over from previous reporting period, plus new participants during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of participants served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new the 3 reporting fiscal years.							
A. Total number of youth participants		56					
B. Total number of other participants (i.e. parents, guardians, etc.)		20					
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program participants during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.							
A. Total number of service hours for youth participants		442					
B. Total number of service hours for other participants (i.e. parents, guardians, etc.)		50					
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.							
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served		0					
B. Number of cases closed		0					
C. A/B		-					
<b>Outcome Measures</b>							
<b>Number and percent of program participants completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program participants who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.							
<b>Youth Participants</b>							
A. Number of participants who exited the program having completed program requirements		0					
B. Total number participants who exited program during period. (successfully & unsuccessfully)		0					
C. Percent (A/B)		-					
<b>Other Participants (i.e. parents, guardians, etc.)</b>							
A. Number of participants who exited the program having completed program requirements		0					
B. Total number participants who exited program during period. (successfully & unsuccessfully)		0					
C. Percent (A/B)		-					
<b>Number and percent of families satisfied with program:</b> The number of program families who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report d							
A. Number of program families satisfied with the program during the reporting period		53					
B. Total number of program families served by the program during the reporting period		56					
C. Percent (A/B)		0.9464286					

**Minnehaha County - Native American Outreach Programs**

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1:** Increase youth and families' positive engagement in school, juvenile justice, and community systems.

All youth in the outreach program demonstrate pro-social behaviors while active in the group activities. Youth who are referred by parents and/or schools are demonstrating a willingness to engage in positive interactions with the system to work through issues by using the outreach program to facilitate problem solving with community systems. NOTE: 3 year numbers are not included in this report because the terms of the grant changed significantly over last year.

Outreach adn Diversion are now identified as two separate programs.

**Goal 2:** Increase use of culturally-based interventions for at-risk youth and families.

All of the programs developed by the Native American Outreach Program are culturally based interventions and impact individual youth, their families, and the community as well as impact other systems and agencies working with Native American youth. Hoocka Diversion, Okciyapi Community Group, Talking Circle, and cultural/values based CD and COA Groups are all culturally appropriate interventions. Development of training for other service providers and working with grassroots organizations has benefited the interaction between the Native American Community and the larger systems.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Minnehaha County - Positive Indian Parenting	Dates Covered:					3 Year Summary
	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	
<b>Output Measures</b>	PIP	10-12/10	01-03/11	04-06/11	07/10-06/11	
<b>Number of participants served:</b> An unduplicated count of the participants served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the participants served for a reporting period is the number of program participants carried over from previous reporting period, plus new participants during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of participants served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new the 3 reporting fiscal years.	5 A/13 C					
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program participants during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.	29hr					
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.	35days					
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served	56					
B. Number of cases closed	2					
C. A/B	28%					
<b>Outcome Measures</b>						
<b>Number and percent of program participants completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program participants who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.	0					
A. Number of participants who exited the program having completed program requirements	0					
B. Total number participants who exited program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully)	2					
C. Percent (A/B)	0%					
<b>Number and percent of families satisfied with program:</b> The number of program families who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report d	0					
A. Number of program families satisfied with the program during the reporting period	0					
B. Total number of program families served by the program during the reporting period	4					
C. Percent (A/B)	0%					

### Minnehaha County - Positive Indian Parenting

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

Goal 1: Increase Native American parents' parental and relational self-efficacy through successful completion of Positive Indian Parenting.

No parents have completed the program at this time and so no evaluations have been completed yet.

Goal 2: Increase Native American parents' skills to parent through successful completion of Positive Indian Parenting.

No parents have completed the program at this time and so no evaluations have been completed yet.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form **must** be filled out for each project.

Manitoba County - DMC Advisory Group Coordination and Collaboration	Years Covered:	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Year Summary
		07/05/10	10/07/10	01/08/11	04/08/11	07/09/11	
<b>Output Measures</b>							
<b>Number of program materials developed:</b> - Number of DMC-related materials developed during the reporting period include only substance materials such as cultural competency for DMC, brochure for parents, videos about DMC, etc. Do not include program development or administrative materials. E.g., in sheets of client intake applications (only the information requested changed).							
<b>Number of training activities conducted:</b> - The number of DMC-related planning activities implemented during the reporting period. Activities include: number of assessment skills workshop (MOU), developed number of DMC shop conducted (if held), etc.							
<b>Number of data/improvement projects implemented:</b> - The number of data/improvement projects funded at the state or local levels specified to improve the quality and effectiveness of DMC data.							
<b>Number of objective decision-making tools developed:</b> - Report whether any objective decision-making tools were developed since determining risk assessment tools assessment materials from assessments were developed to determine the substance needs of the youth.							
<b>Number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded:</b> - The number of DMC-related policies or procedures specified, amended, rescinded during the reporting period. Policies or procedures can be developed at the state or local levels. Agency/department specific courses of action that guide the general guidance practices of the program or agency. Multiple policies that are developed for the local-level of the program policies that affect program operations.							
<b>Outcome Measures</b>							
<b>Number and percent of non-program personnel with increased knowledge of program area:</b> - The number of non-program personnel, such as personnel from law enforcement, courts, referral agencies, or community members who gained a general knowledge of DMC and DMC-related topics through trainings or other formal learning opportunities. Training does not need to have been given by the program. Self-report data collected using training evaluation assessment forms, site inspection data sources.							
A. Number of program personnel trained during the period who report increased knowledge.							
B. Number of non-program personnel trained during the period who report increased training success.							
C. Percent (A/B)							
<b>Number of contact points reporting reduction in self-reporting opportunity at the local level:</b> - All the contact points (areas referred to) where police have such a definition, jurisdiction, authority, delinquency program, secure confinement, and custody waiver and adult youth reporting capability. All reporting points are tracked at the local level during the reporting period.							
<b>Number and percent of recommendations from the DMC assessment studies implemented:</b> - The state DMC assessment studies contain multiple recommendations. Count the total number of those who use recommendations.							
A. Number of program/agency staff use for implementation.							
B. Number of local program staff use for implementation.							
C. Percent (A/B)							



## Performance Measures - For Programs Directly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Pennington County - Intervention for Prevention	Dates Covered:					3 Year Summary
	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	
<b>Output Measures</b>						
<b>Number of program youth served:</b> An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years.	21					
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program youth during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.	32					
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.	12					
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served	20					
B. Number of cases closed	12					
C. A/B						
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.	4					
<b>Outcome Measures</b>						
<b>Number and percent of program youth who re-offend:</b> The number of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.	1					
A. Number of program youth with a new offense	1					
B. Number of youth in program	21					
C. Percent (A/B)	5%					
<b>Number and percent of program youth completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.	17					
A. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements	17					
B. Total number of youth who exited the program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully).	21					
C. Percent (A/B)	81%					
<b>Number and percent of program youth satisfied with program:</b> The number of program youth who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report data collected using program evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.	17					
A. Number of program youth satisfied with the program during the reporting period	13					
B. Total number of program youth served by the program during the reporting period	21					
C. Percent (A/B)	70%					
<b>Number and percent of program youth exhibiting desired change in targeted behavior:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in behavior during the reporting period. Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources.	13					
<b>Family Relationships</b>						
<b>Short Term - During the Reporting Period</b>						
A. Number of program youth served during the program period with the noted change	13					
B. Total number of youth served during the reporting period	21					
C. Percent (A/B)	70%					
<b>Long Term - 6 to 12 Months after exiting program</b>						
A. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had noted change	0					
B. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago	0					
C. Percent (A/B)	70%					

**Pennington County - Intervention for Prevention**

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1: Broaden target population:**

It is very difficult to track changes, such as behavior, specifically related to program, however, simply through contact w/ youth/family we do know our few have not re-offended. Staff is working on development of our own tracking instrument to use in the future.

**Goal 2: Provide educational and support services to at-risk and offending youth**

Support services were provided to only four offending youth directly during this reporting period. The number of youth is down because of the months of the reporting period--no school July/Aug and September was delayed services because of the change in staff.

**Goal 3: Increase collaboration with all systems.**

Increase in collaboration consisted of meets w/ RC Club for Boys, Office of Indian Education, Probations office/Judd Thompson and several meets w/ WSDJSC.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Pennington County - DMC Advisory Group	Dates Covered:					Total	3 Year Summary
	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	07/10-06/11		
<b>Output Measures</b>							
<b>Number of program materials developed:</b> The number of DMC-related materials developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials such as cultural competency or DMC curricula, brochures, videos about DMC, etc. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms such as sign-in sheets or client tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed.	1						
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.	3						
<b>Number of data improvement projects implemented:</b> The number of data improvement projects funded at the state or local levels specifically to improve the quality and completeness of DMC data.	0						
<b>Number of objective decision-making tools developed:</b> Report whether any objective decision-making tools were developed, such as detention risk, risk assessment, needs assessment, mental health assessment were developed to determine the supervision needs of the youth.	0						
<b>Number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded:</b> The number of DMC-related policies or procedures created, amended or rescinded during the reporting period. Policies or procedures can be developed at the state or local levels. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of the program or agency. Include policies that are either relevant to the topic area of the program or policies that affect program operations.	1						
<b>Outcome Measures</b>	5						
<b>Number and percent of non-program personnel with increased knowledge of program area:</b> The number of non-program personnel, such as representatives from law enforcement, courts, referral agencies, or community members who gained a greater knowledge of DMC and DMC-related topics through trainings or other formal learning opportunities. Training does not need to have been given by the program. Self-report data collected using training evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.	5						
A. Number of non-program personnel trained during the period who report increased knowledge	5						
B. Number of non-program personnel trained during the reporting period and returning surveys	0						
C. Percent (A/B)	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Number of contact points reporting reduction in disproportionality at the local level:</b> Number contact points (arrest, referral to juvenile court, diversion, detention, petition filed, found delinquent, probation, secure confinement, and transfer/waiver to adult court) reporting significant disproportionality at the local level during the current reporting period.	0						
<b>Number and percent of recommendations from the DMC assessment studies implemented:</b> The state DMC Assessment studies contain multiple recommendations. Count the total number of those chosen for implementation.	0						
A. Number of recommendations chosen for implementation	3						
B. Number of recommendations made	0						
C. Percent (A/B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Pennington County - DMC Advisory Group</b>							

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

Goal 1: Recruit child custody agency on the local advisory group and increase attendance.

Advisory group meets were primarily to discuss how SANI-T would proceed to implement projects and to discuss proposal of 2 half-time staff instead of one full-time. #18: Are in process of developing a tool for regular communication/reporting w/ JSC and RCPD in order to track. #19: Our projects are implementing intervention through parenting, afterschool activity and mentoring.

Goal 2: Increase attendance at local advisory group.

We will continue to do one-on-one contacts to increase attendance.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Pennington County - Parenting for Prevention		Dates Covered:					3 Year
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	Summary
		07/09/10	10-12/10	01-03/11	04/06/11	07/10/06-11	
<b>Output Measures</b>							
<p><b>Number of participants served:</b> An unduplicated count of the participants served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the participants served for a reporting period is the number of program participants carried over from previous reporting period, plus new participants during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of participants served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new the 3 reporting fiscal years.</p>		4					
<p><b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program participants during the reporting period. Services is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.</p>		8					
<p><b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.</p>		20					
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served		20					
B. Number of cases closed		2					
C. A/B		10					
<b>Outcome Measures</b>							
<p><b>Number and percent of program participants completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program participants who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.</p>		0					
A. Number of participants who exited the program having completed program requirements		0					
B. Total number participants who exited program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully)		2					
C. Percent (A/B)		0%					
<p><b>Number and percent of families satisfied with program:</b> The number of program families who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report d</p>		2					
A. Number of program families satisfied with the program during the reporting period		2					
B. Total number of program families served by the program during the reporting period		4					
C. Percent (A/B)		50%					

**Pennington County - Parenting for Prevention**

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1: Develop a parent recruitment plan.**

Parent activities were delayed in start date due to hiring of new staff. The parent #s during this reporting period are those who were in contact w/ our program after the school term, and whom we assisted in mentoring sessions and guidance through the juvenile court system.

**Goal 2: Increase participation through incentive.**

Do not have #s to report for this period due to the delay in start date of projects to hire new staff replacing John Barse, Coordinator

**Goal 3: Increase cultural awareness of juvenile justice agencies.**

Staff is in the process of creating a power point presentation/training to be delivered to local DMC Advisory group and hopefully to juvenile judge.

# Performance Measures - For Programs Directly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are MANDATORY. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Roberts County - Gang Reduction Initiative		Dates Covered:					3 Year Summary	
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total		
		07-09/10	10-12/10	01-03/11	04-06/11	07/10-06/11		
<b>Output Measures</b>								
<b>Number of program youth served:</b> An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years.		0						
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program youth during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.		0						
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.								
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served		0						
B. Number of cases closed		0						
C. A/B		-	-	-	-	-		
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.		4						
<b>Outcome Measures</b>								
<b>Number and percent of program youth who re-offend:</b> The number of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.								
A. Number of program youth with a new offense		na						
B. Number of youth in program		na						
C. Percent (A/B)		#VALUE!	-	-	-	-		
<b>Number and percent of program youth completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.								
A. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements		na						
B. Total number of youth who exited the program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully)		na						
C. Percent (A/B)		#VALUE!	-	-	-	-		
<b>Number and percent of program youth satisfied with program:</b> The number of program youth who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report data collected using program evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.								
A. Number of program youth satisfied with the program during the reporting period		na						
B. Total number of program youth served by the program during the reporting period		na						
C. Percent (A/B)		#VALUE!	-	-	-	-		
<b>Number and percent of program youth exhibiting desired change in targeted behavior:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in behavior during the reporting period. Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources.								
<b>School Attendance</b>								
<b>Short Term - During the Reporting Period</b>								
A. Number of program youth served during the program period with the noted change		na						
B. Total number of youth served during the reporting period		na						
C. Percent (A/B)		na						
<b>Long Term - 6 to 12 Months after exiting program</b>								
A. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had noted change		na						
B. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago		na						
C. Percent (A/B)		na						

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1: Increase community awareness of youth gang issues in Roberts County**

A survey was developed and mailed in the County regarding the public's perception of youth gang activity. A report will be provided to the local newspapers for printing. The survey is currently under evaluation and will be available to the coalition when the report is complete. In addition, County, City and Tribal police were contacted regarding the reason for most law enforcement contacts with gang involved youth. All three entities reported substance abuse as the top reason, with violence and crime as the additional reasons.

**Goal 2: Implement diversion program for gang involved youth**

The Phoenix Curriculum was contacted. They are in the process of looking at the curriculum pieces that would be appropriate for our use and culturally relevant to the Native American population. They will be sending us the pieces of the program to examine along with a logic model that would achieve our goals.

**Goal 3: Use Community projects to discourage community apathy**

We are in the process of scheduling a project to happen on the Lake Traverse Reservation. City police have done a great job in eradicating any graffiti that comes up. We are trying to coordinate a community effort to do the same thing on the reservation. We now have a pressure washer and paint to do that.

## Performance Measures - For Programs Indirectly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are **MANDATORY**. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Roberts County - Community Collaboration		Dates Covered:					Total	3 Year Summary
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	07/10-06/11		
<b>Output Measures</b>								
<b>Number of program materials developed:</b> The number of DMC-related materials developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials such as cultural competency or DMC curricula, brochures, videos about DMC, etc. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms such as sign-in sheets or client tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed.		0						
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.		8						
<b>Number of data improvement projects implemented:</b> The number of data improvement projects funded at the state or local levels specifically to improve the quality and completeness of DMC data.		0						
<b>Number of objective decision-making tools developed:</b> Report whether any objective decision-making tools were developed, such as detention risk, risk assessment, needs assessment, mental health assessment were developed to determine the supervision needs of the youth.		1						
<b>Number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded:</b> The number of DMC-related policies or procedures created, amended or rescinded during the reporting period. Policies or procedures can be developed at the state or local levels. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of the program or agency. Include policies that are either relevant to the topic area of the program or policies that affect program operations.		0						
<b>Outcome Measures</b>								
<b>Number and percent of non-program personnel with increased knowledge of program area:</b> The number of non-program personnel, such as representatives from law enforcement, courts, referral agencies, or community members who gained a greater knowledge of DMC and DMC-related topics through trainings or other formal learning opportunities. Training does not need to have been given by the program. Self-report data collected using training evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.								
A. Number of non-program personnel trained during the period who report increased knowledge		0						
B. Number of non-program personnel trained during the reporting period and returning surveys		0						
C. Percent (A/B)		-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Number of contact points reporting reduction in disproportionality at the local level:</b> Number contact points (arrest, referral to juvenile court, diversion, detention, petition filed, found delinquent, probation, secure confinement, and transfer/waiver to adult court) reporting significant disproportionality at the local level during the current reporting period.		1						
<b>Number and percent of recommendations from the DMC assessment studies implemented:</b> The state DMC Assessment studies contain multiple recommendations. Count the total number of those chosen for implementation.								
A. Number of recommendations chosen for implementation		0						
B. Number of recommendations made		0						
C. Percent (A/B)		-	-	-	-	-	-	

**Roberts County Community Collaboration**

Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.

**Goal 1: Incorporate DMC goals and objectives into community coalition.**

DMC committee requested a Resolution of Support from the Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate Tribal Council. Shannon White appeared before the Council on behalf of the DMC committee. The resolution was passed unanimously. We also went before City Council in Sisseton and received a resolution of support.

**Goal 2: Utilize the DMC data to drive coalition efforts.**

Our 2010 PRIDE survey data told us that 99 students reported involvement in gangs in Roberts County. (11.9% of total responding)

Of the 99 students who reported Involvement in gangs,

60 report using liquor (63.8%),

64 report using marijuana (68.1%),

19 report using cocaine (20.4%),

12 report using Meth (13.0%). When we broke out the data for the Tribal school, we found that Total number of students surveyed = 190

Total number who responded to this question = 183

45 students reported involvement in gangs. (24.6% of total responding)

Of the 45 students who reported involvement in gangs,

26 report using liquor (61.9%),

32 report using marijuana (74.4%),

8 report using cocaine (18.6%),

5 report using Meth (12.2%).

## Performance Measures - For Programs Directly Serving Youth

\*All performance measures are MANDATORY. Incomplete reports will NOT be accepted. If there are multiple programs, this form must be filled out for each project.

Robert's County - Mentoring	Dates Covered:					3 Year Summary
	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Total	
<b>Output Measures</b>	07-09/10	10-12/10	01-03/11	04-06/11	07/10-06/11	
<b>Number of program youth served:</b> An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years.	0					
<b>Number of service hours completed:</b> The number of hours of service completed by program youth during the reporting period. Service is any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or other professionals dedicated to completing the program requirements.	0					
<b>Average length of stay in the program:</b> The average length of time (in days) clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who both complete program requirements prior to program exit and those that do not. Program records are the preferred data source.						
A. Total number of days between intake and program exit across all clients served	0					
B. Number of cases closed	0					
C. A/B	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Number of planning activities conducted:</b> The number of DMC-related planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Activities include number of Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) developed, number of DMC Subcommittee meetings held, etc.	6					
<b>Outcome Measures</b>						
<b>Number and percent of program youth who re-offend:</b> The number of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.						
A. Number of program youth with a new offense	0					
B. Number of youth in program	0					
C. Percent (A/B)	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Number and percent of program youth completing the program requirements:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion.						
A. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements	0					
B. Total number of youth who exited the program during period (successfully & unsuccessfully).	0					
C. Percent (A/B)	0	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Number and percent of program youth satisfied with program:</b> The number of program youth who report being satisfied with the program in areas such as staff relations and expertise, general program operations, facilities, materials, and service. Self-report data collected using program evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.						
A. Number of program youth satisfied with the program during the reporting period	0					
B. Total number of program youth served by the program during the reporting period	0					
C. Percent (A/B)	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Number and percent of program youth exhibiting desired change in targeted behavior:</b> The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in behavior during the reporting period. Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources.						
<b>School Attendance</b>						
<b>Short Term - During the Reporting Period</b>						
A. Number of program youth served during the program period with the noted change	na					
B. Total number of youth served during the reporting period	na					
C. Percent (A/B)	0					
<b>Long Term - 6 to 12 Months after exiting program</b>						
A. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had noted change	na					
B. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago	na					
C. Percent (A/B)	0					

**Roberts County - Mentoring**

**Each project will be responsible for reporting quarterly on the progress made with the goals as identified in the approved proposal.**

**Goal 1: Provide local youth with a positive role model.**

In August, a formal training was held. The attendees are currently being matched with their mentees and meetings are beginning to take place. Currently we have 5 mentors with 12 youth being served.

**Goal 2: Improve students success and retention.**

A survey will be given in December to measure.

**Goal 3: 90% of mentor/mentees will report satisfaction with the program.**

Program officially started up in October. We needed time to let the kids adjust to the beginning of a new school year. A survey will be given in December to measure satisfaction and any noted change/progress.