Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report
Adult Prisons & Jails

☐ Interim  ☒ Final

Date of Report  May 14, 2018

Auditor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Glynn Maddox</th>
<th>Email: <a href="mailto:glynn.maddox@nakamotogroup.com">glynn.maddox@nakamotogroup.com</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company Name: The Nakamoto Group, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address: 11820 Parklawn Dr., Suite 240</td>
<td>City, State, Zip: Rockville, MD 20852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: Rockville, MD 20852</td>
<td>Date of Facility Visit: April 10 - 12, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Agency: South Dakota Department of Corrections</th>
<th>Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable) State of South Dakota</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Address: 3200 East Highway 34</td>
<td>City, State, Zip: Pierre, SD 57501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing Address: c/o East Capitol Ave.</td>
<td>City, State, Zip: Pierre, SD 57501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: 605-773-3478</td>
<td>Is Agency accredited by any organization? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Agency Is: ☐ Municipal ☐ Military ☐ Private for Profit ☐ Private not for Profit ☒ State ☐ County ☐ Federal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency mission: The mission of the Department of Corrections is to protect the citizens of South Dakota by providing safe and secure facilities for juvenile and adult offenders committed to our custody by the courts, to provide effective community supervision to offenders upon their release and to utilize evidence-based practices to maximize opportunities for rehabilitation.

Agency Website with PREA Information: http://doc.sd.gov/about/PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx

Agency Chief Executive Officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Denny Kaemingk</th>
<th>Title: Secretary of Corrections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Denny.Kaemingk@state.sd.us">Denny.Kaemingk@state.sd.us</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 605-367-6136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Ken VanMeveren</th>
<th>Title: PREA Coordinator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:Ken.VanMeveren@state.sd.us">Ken.VanMeveren@state.sd.us</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 605-367-4496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREA Coordinator Reports to:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin McLain</td>
<td>Three</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility Information

**Name of Facility:** Mike Durfee State Prison  
**Physical Address:** 1412 Wood Street, Springfield, SD 57062  
**Mailing Address (if different than above):** N/A  
**Telephone Number:** 605-369-2201  
**The Facility Is:**  
☐ Military  
☐ Private for profit  
☐ Private not for profit  
☐ Municipal  
☐ County  
☒ State  
☐ Federal  
**Facility Type:**  
☐ Jail  
☒ Prison  
**Facility Mission:** The mission of Mike Durfee State Prison is to provide care and custody of medium security male inmates utilizing evidence-based practices in the provision of employment, substance abuse and educational programs to promote pro-social conduct in preparation for successful return to society.  
**Facility Website with PREA Information:** [http://doc.sd.gov/about/PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx](http://doc.sd.gov/about/PrisonRapeEliminationAct.aspx)

### Warden/Superintendent

**Name:** Bob Dooley  
**Title:** Warden/Director of Prison Operations  
**Email:** bob.dooley@state.sd.us  
**Telephone:** 605-369-2201

### Facility PREA Compliance Manager

**Name:** Becc Coyle  
**Title:** Unit Manager  
**Email:** becc.coyle@state.sd.us  
**Telephone:** 605-668-3355

### Facility Health Service Administrator

**Name:** Kayla Tinker, BSN, RN  
**Title:** Correctional Health Services Administrator  
**Email:** Kayla.tinker@state.sd.us  
**Telephone:** 605-773-2707

### Facility Characteristics

**Designated Facility Capacity:**  
- Mike Durfee State Prison = 1275  
- Rapid City Minimum Unit = 416  
- Yankton Minimum Unit = 338  
- TOTAL = 2029  

**Current Population of Facility:**  
- Mike Durfee State Prison = 1238  
- Rapid City Minimum Unit = 316  
- Yankton Minimum Unit = 313  
- TOTAL = 1867  

**Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months:** 2031
| Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more: | 1512 |
|---|
| Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more: | 1976 |
| Number of inmates on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012: | 105 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range of Population: 18-72</th>
<th>Youthful Inmates Under 18: N/A</th>
<th>Adults: 18 - 72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Are youthful inmates housed separately from the adult population? | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | ☒ NA |

| Number of youthful inmates housed at this facility during the past 12 months: | None |

Average length of stay or time under supervision:

Facility security level/inmate custody levels:

MDSP- low medium custody, Rapid City and Yankton Minimum unit-minimum custody

Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:

Mike Durfee State Prison= 160 Rapid City Minimum Unit = 27 Yankton Minimum Unit = 25

Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:

Mike Durfee State Prison= 34 Rapid City Minimum Unit = 9 Yankton Minimum Unit = 8

Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:

24

---

**Physical Plant**

| Number of Buildings: MDSP = 20 on inner perimeter, 5 small storage or sweat lodge sheds, 3 buildings outside the perimeter and 2 outside towers, RCMU = 3, YMU = 4. | Number of Single Cell Housing Units: 0 |
|---|

---
| Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.): | Mike Durfee State Prison employs a video camera system with recording capabilities for video surveillance. Cameras are placed strategically throughout the institution including off site minimum security units to ensure the safety and security of both inmates and staff. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Medical Facility:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDSP: Staffed with nursing staff 24 hours a day and include the medical clinic, dental clinic, an infirmary and a comfort care room for terminally ill inmates RCCWC. Medical is staffed 7 days a week. YCWC: Medical is staffed Monday through Friday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals in Tyndall, SD, Rapid City, SD or Yankton, SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor’s description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor’s process for the site review.

PRE-ONSITE AUDIT PHASE & PREPARATION

Prior to the on-site visit, the agency PREA Coordinator (who is a certified PREA Auditor) and the facility PREA Compliance Manager (who is a member of a local community Sexual Abuse Response Team) forwarded to the auditor all policies and supporting documentation, including the completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire, administrative reports, contracts, incident reports, memorandums, brochures, staff handbooks, staff rosters, PREA audit notices, the Inmate Living Guide, staffing plans, training information, and other reference materials for examination prior to the on-site visit.

DAY ONE, ONSITE AUDIT - ENTRANCE BRIEFING, TOUR, AND STAFF/INMATE INTERVIEWS

The on-site PREA (Prison Rape Elimination Act) audit of the South Dakota Mike Durfee State Prison (MDSP), was conducted from April 10-13, 2018. The audit was completed by The Nakamoto Group, Inc. certified auditor Glynn Maddox. This is the second PREA audit for this facility. An entrance meeting was held the first day of the audit at the Rapid City Community Work Center to discuss any concerns regarding the audit process and finalize the facility tour and interview schedules. The Mike Durfee State Prison (MDSP) consists of, a low - medium security male facility and two off-site community work centers (Rapid City Community Work Center and the Yankton Community Work Center) for minimum - security inmates and parolees in the Community Transition Program. The following persons were in attendance: PREA Compliance Manager; the PREA Coordinator; and the Unit Manager for the Rapid City Community Work Center (RCCWC). After the meeting, a comprehensive tour of the RCWRC and the Yankton Community Work Center (YCWC) was completed. The tour in both facilities included the intake processing areas, all housing units, the, recreation areas, facility support areas, education areas, the visiting rooms and other programming areas. During the tour, it was noted that there were sufficient staffing, security mirrors and surveillance cameras to ensure a safe environment for inmates and staff. Signs were posted (in English and Spanish) that informed inmates that employees of the opposite gender were present in the housing units. Inmates were able to shower, dress and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender. Informal and formal conversations with employees and inmates regarding the PREA standards were conducted. Postings regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency’s zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual
harassment were prominently displayed in all housing units, meeting areas and throughout the facility. Audit notice postings with the PREA auditors’ contact information were also located in the same areas (the PREA Coordinator provided emailed photographs of these notices when they were posted (eight weeks prior to the on-site audit). There were four confidential letters mailed to the auditor from inmates as a result of the audit postings. These four inmates were interviewed on day two and day three of the on-site audit. There were no confidential letters mailed to the auditor by staff.

Following the tours at both the RCCWC and the YCWC, interviews were conducted with staff and inmates at both units. The inmate interviewees were randomly selected by the auditor using an inmate roster provided by the PREA Compliance Manager for the facility that included the various special categories of inmates. Also, a staff roster was provided by the PREA Compliance Manager for the facility that included the specialized staff categories. The auditor chose at random available staff from the roster to be interviewed. No inmates or staff refused to be interviewed. The following inmate interviews were conducted on day one: five inmates who had disclosed sexual victimization during risk screening; one transgender inmate; one disabled inmate; and six random sample inmates. The following staff interviews were conducted on day one: two medical and mental health staff; three intake staff; two investigative staff; one contractor; three intermediate or higher level facility staff; and six randomly selected staff.

DAYS TWO & THREE, ONSITE AUDIT – TOUR, REVIEW OF INVESTIGATIONS, AND STAFF/INMATE INTERVIEWS

On day two of the audit, all areas within MDSP where inmates may have access were toured including all floors of all housing units. Following the tour of MDSP, interviews were conducted with staff and inmates. The inmate interviewees were randomly selected by the auditor using an inmate roster provided by the PREA Compliance Manager for the facility that included the various special categories of inmates. The auditor chose at random available staff from the roster to be interviewed. No inmates or staff refused to be interviewed. The following inmate interviews were conducted on day two/three: three gay inmates; three transgender inmates (there were no inmates who had self-identified as being intersex); two LEP inmates; one inmate who had reported a sexual abuse; one deaf inmate and Twenty-six random sample inmates from different housing units. The following staff interviews were conducted on day two/three: three contractors and three volunteers; six intermediate or higher level facility staff; five medical and mental health staff; three first responders; one human resources staff; two staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness; two staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing; two intake staff; three incident review team members; two investigators; one retaliation monitor and ten random sample of staff from the various shifts. Additionally, interviews were conducted with the Agency Head’s designee, the Warden, the PREA Coordinator, the PREA Compliance Manager, and the director of the local rape crisis center.

During the tours, staff were observed interacting with inmates in a positive and helpful manner. The inmate phone system was tested for serviceability for reporting allegations to outside sources. The tour included the intake processing areas, all housing units, the, recreation
areas, facility support areas, education areas, health service area, food service area, the visiting rooms and other programming areas. During the tour, it was noted that there was sufficient staffing, security mirrors and surveillance cameras to ensure a safe environment for inmates and staff. Signs were posted (in English and Spanish) that informed inmates that employees of the opposite gender were present in the housing units. Inmates were able to shower, dress and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender. Informal and formal conversations with employees and inmates regarding the PREA standards were conducted. Postings regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency’s zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed in all housing units, meeting areas and throughout the facility. Audit notice postings with the PREA auditors’ contact information were also located in the same areas (the PREA Coordinator provided emailed photographs of these notices as they were posted, eight weeks prior to the on-site audit).

INVESTIGATIONS

A review of the investigative files was conducted for the twelve months prior to the audit alleging sexual abuse or sexual harassment. During the audit period, there were a total of twenty allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment filed by inmates. There were three allegations of sexual abuse by inmates on staff (one included an allegation on an arresting officer in the community). All of the allegations were determined to be unfounded. There were five allegations of sexual abuse by inmates on other inmates. Four of these allegations were determined to be unfounded and one was determined to be unsubstantiated. There were three allegations of sexual harassment by inmates on staff. Two of these were determined to be unfounded and one was unsubstantiated. And there were nine allegations of sexual harassment by inmates on other inmates. Four were determined to be unfounded and five were unsubstantiated. None of the cases required forensic evidence collection by a SANE service provider in the community and none of the cases were referred to an outside law enforcement agency for investigation. All the investigations were completed promptly and thoroughly and were well documented.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor’s description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The Mike Durfee State Prison (MDSP) consists of, a low - medium security male facility and two off-site community work centers for minimum - security inmates and parolees in the Community Transition Program. The low-medium security prison (MDSP) is located on a 64 acre campus of the former University of South Dakota in Springfield, South Dakota. The prison opened in 1984 as a female facility. In 1985 it became a co-ed facility and remained co-ed until 1997, when it became an all-male facility. MSDP has a rated bed capacity of 1275 and a current inmate population of 1238. There are 160 staff assigned to MDSP. The housing units consist of five separate buildings, one of which is a single story with open bay/dormitory
bunks and the four remaining units are two and three story buildings with individual rooms and/or cubicles housing from two to eight inmates. Prison industries/vocational training at MDSP includes home construction for the Governor’s Housing Project, printing and book binding, furniture restoration and reupholstering, garment manufacturing and sign and decal design, welding, auto body repair, auto mechanics, and construction technology. Inmates not assigned to prison industries are provided other work assignments such as food service, mechanical services, health services, education, recreation, laundry and unit orderlies. Inmates can take classes for literacy, Adult Basic Education, and GED as well as receive treatment for chemical dependency and for sex offenses. Inmates are also provided the opportunity to participate in the practice of their faith, access to leisure and law libraries as well as a wide variety of recreational programs.

The Community Work Centers are units of the MDSP and are located in Rapid City and Yankton, South Dakota under the supervision of a Unit Manager who reports to the Warden. The Rapid City Community Work Center was opened in 2012 and houses inmates that work on community service projects such as firefighting or helping thin timber in the Black Hills of South Dakota. The center also houses inmates on work release status, working in the community for various businesses. The center also houses parolees enrolled in the Community Transition Program. The RCCWC has a rated bed capacity of 416 and a current inmate population of 316. There are 27 staff assigned to the center. The center has one housing unit consisting of open bay/dormitory housing.

The Yankton Community Work Center is located on the grounds of the South Dakota Human Services Center. The center supplies inmate workers for the Human Services Center as well as for community service projects and inmates that are enrolled in the Community Transition Program. The Yankton center has a rated bed capacity of 338 and a current inmate population of 313. There are 25 staff assigned to the center. The facility has one housing unit consisting of open bay/dormitory housing.

The South Dakota Department of Health provides medical, dental, and optometric services for SDDOC inmates and is accredited by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC). Medical care is provided 24 hours a day, seven days per week. Outside medical and emergency care is available at a local hospital. The South Dakota Department of Social Services provides mental health and chemical dependency services. The program contracts with licensed psychiatrists to provide psychiatric consultations, diagnosis, and treatment plan services. Food service operations are provided through a contract with CBM Correctional Food Service.

Summary of Audit Findings

DAY THREE - STAFF/INMATE, INTERVIEWS, EXIT MEETING, AND FINDINGS

When the on-site audit was completed, an exit meeting was held with the Warden, Director of Grants and Research (by telephone), PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and other key staff to discuss audit findings. The facility was found to be fully compliant with the PREA. One standard was determined to be non-applicable (115.14 Youthful Inmates). The
auditor was provided with extensive files prior to and during the audit for review to support a conclusion of compliance with the PREA. All interviews and observations also supported compliance. During the on-site visit, staff were found to be cooperative, courteous, and professional. All areas of the facilities toured were observed to be clean and well maintained. At the conclusion of the audit the auditor thanked the Warden, the PREA Coordinator and MDSP staff for their hard work and commitment to the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, along with a list of each of the standards in each category. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of Standards Exceeded: 0

Number of Standards Met: 45

115.14 was determined to be non-applicable as the facility does not house youthful inmates.

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

Summary of Corrective Action (if any)

None

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11 (a)
Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.11 (b)

Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.11 (c)

If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

South Dakota Department of Corrections policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, was developed and implemented mandating zero tolerance toward
all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy outlines in detail the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has appointed an agency-wide PREA Coordinator who reports directly to the Director of Grants and Research under the Secretary of Corrections. The Warden has appointed the Unit Manager over the Yankton Community Work Center as the Institutional PREA Compliance Manager. The Institutional PREA Compliance Manager reports directly to the Warden regarding all PREA related matters. Interviews with the agency PREA Coordinator and Institutional PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that each has sufficient time and authority to coordinate efforts to comply with PREA standards. All interviews with staff, volunteers, contractors and inmates confirmed that each was aware of the zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. The commitment to the enforcement and implementation of the PREA meets the required mandates of this standard. An examination of policy, postings, interviews and supporting documentation confirms compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency meets the requirements of this standard. A review of the documentation submitted confirmed the agency requires other entities contracted with for the confinement of inmates to adopt and comply with the PREA standards. All agency contractual agreements have been modified to incorporate the language requiring all contractors to adopt and comply with PREA standards.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the generally accepted detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind-spots” or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the number and placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (d)
- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirements of this standard. Interviews with the Warden and Human Resources Manager confirmed that the facility considers the items detailed in the standard when developing the staffing plan. The facility and the agency review the staffing plan and the facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies at least annually. There have been no judicial findings of inadequacy, findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies or findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies relevant to this standard. All essential posts are filled each shift and no essential posts are kept open for salary savings. When programs are offered, staffing is increased to provide additional supervision. A review of the electronic unannounced rounds log confirmed that intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors, including shift supervisors, conduct and document such visits throughout the institution also at night and on the weekends. Staff are prohibited from alerting other employees regarding unannounced rounds. Interviews with inmates and housing unit officers also confirmed that random, unannounced rounds are conducted by Shift Supervisors and Institutional Duty Officers daily, including nights and weekends. An examination of policy, supporting documentation and staff/inmate interviews confirm compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.14 (a)  
- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.14 (b)  
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.14 (c)  
- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
The facility does not house youthful offenders under the age of eighteen years.

**Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.15 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.15 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates’ access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.15 (d)

- Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate’s genital status? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
If an inmate’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.A.5, Searches – Institutions, and Policy 1.1.C.2, Staff Supervision of Offenders, address the requirements of this standard. The facility’s rated capacity exceeds 50 inmates and does not house female inmates. The facility does not permit cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. There were no cross-gender visual body cavity or strip searches conducted in the facility during the audit period. Officers are required to document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches. Interviews with staff confirmed that they were aware of the prohibition of visual body cavity or strip searches of the inmates of the opposite gender except in exigent circumstances. Staff interviews also confirmed that male and female officers had been trained to conduct cross-gender pat searches. As confirmed by observations during the tour of all housing units, inmates are permitted to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothing privately. The agency and the facility has a policy requiring staff to announce the presence of a visitor, contractor, or staff member of the opposite gender to the inmates when entering an inmate housing unit. Inmate
interviews confirmed that female staff members announce their presence in this manner when entering housing units and that staff announce the presence of visitors and contractors of the opposite gender. The practice was observed during the tour of all units of the facility. An intercom announcement is also made over a loud speaker, at the beginning of each shift that notifies inmates that male and female employees are on duty in their units. Staff do not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate’s genital status. Interviews with inmates, including transgender inmates, confirmed that they had been pat-searched by officers properly and professionally. Interviews with staff/inmates, personal observations and an examination of policy/supporting documentation confirm compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.16 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate’s allegations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard. Through policy and practice, the facility ensures that inmates with all disabilities listed in 115.16a have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The disabled inmates interviewed stated they were instructed about PREA compliance and felt safe from sexual abuse. All PREA related information (written information), including postings, brochures and handouts are provided in English, Spanish and other languages. The facility’s on-site print shop produces the inmate handbook or “Inmate Living Guide” along with the PREA pamphlet in Braille for blind inmates. Staff also may read information to inmates when necessary. Translation services are available through a contracted language service for inmates who are not English proficient for any language. Communication services are also available for inmates who use sign language. The facility also employs staff who are proficient in languages other than English. The facility does not rely on inmate interpreters, inmate readers or other types of inmate assistants in the performance of first responder duties or during the investigation of an inmate’s allegations. Interviews with first responders, medical, mental health and investigative staff confirmed their awareness of the prohibition of using inmate interpreters for PREA functions.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (g)

Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (h)

Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.1.C.13, Screening of Staff, Volunteers and Contractors for Prior Sexual Abuse, addresses the requirement of the standard. Five personnel files were examined by the auditor to ensure compliance with all aspects of this standard. All employees who have contact with inmates have had a full field background investigation in addition to finger printing and inquiry into the FBI's National Crime Information Center (NCIC). Employee backgrounds are re-checked at least every five years. Contractors and volunteers who have regular contact with inmates also have criminal background checks completed prior to having contact with inmates. Volunteer and contractor background checks are re-checked annually. The facility does not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates and does not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in any type of sexual abuse/harassment (no exceptions). Employees have a duty to disclose such misconduct and material omissions regarding such misconduct would be grounds for termination. Submission of false information by any applicant is grounds for not hiring the applicant. The Human
Resource Manager (HRM) was interviewed and confirmed that the agency attempts to contact prior employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations which occurred during a pending investigation of sexual abuse. The HRM also confirmed that the agency, not the facility human resource department, provides information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee, upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. The agency, not the facility human resource department, notifies appropriate licensing/certifying agencies when professional staff members are terminated for substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. A review of policy, personnel files, and relevant supporting documentation, including staff interviews, confirm compliance with this standard.

### Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.18 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

  □ Yes  □ No  ☒ NA

#### 115.18 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

  □ Yes  □ No  ☒ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- □ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has had no substantial upgrades in technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit. Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, requires that when designing or acquiring any new facility, planning any substantial expansion or modification of an existing facility housing inmates or installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring technology in a DOC facility, the PREA Compliance Manager and PREA Coordinator will consult with staff to consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification on staff’s ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse/harassment.

### RESPONSIVE PLANNING

#### Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.21 (c)
- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.21 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)
If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.21(d) above.] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, address the requirements of the standard. Interviews with correctional and health services personnel confirmed that they were all knowledgeable of the required procedures for obtaining, preserving and securing physical evidence, when sexual abuse is alleged. Staff were aware that the Special Investigation Unit (SIU) conducts investigations relative to sexual abuse/harassment allegations. The agency follows a uniform evidence protocol as described in the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents”. Victims of sexual assault are referred to health services for initial examination and treatment. Such treatment would be for life preservation only and the victim would be transported to a community hospital for examination, treatment and forensic evidence gathering by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). All sexual abuse victim counseling, examinations, treatment, testing and follow-up care are provided without cost to the victim. Facility staff members also have been trained as victim advocates. Routinely, administrative investigations are conducted by trained investigators who are full time employees of the facility. The Warden generates the referral to the outside agency. The review of training records confirmed that investigators have received investigator training offered by the agency on the investigation of sexual abuse and harassment in confinement settings. Interviews with staff, a SANE nurse, and a community victim advocate, as well as an examination of policy/supporting documentation, also confirm compliance with this standard. Justice Detention International (JDI), a national victim advocacy organization, was contacted by the auditor and reported no allegations of sexual abuse had been received from any inmates at the facility.
### Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

#### 115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### 115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of the standard. Policy requires administrative or criminal investigations to be completed on all allegations of sexual abuse/harassment. Administrative investigations are routinely assigned for completion by the Special Investigative Unit. If, during an investigation, evidence surfaces indicating criminal misconduct, the case would be referred to the South Dakota Division of Criminal Investigations (DCI) for criminal investigation. A SIU Lieutenant was interviewed and was aware of his responsibilities in the investigative process. The DCI would conduct criminal investigations for the facility involving inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse and staff-on-inmate criminal sexual abuse. An investigation would never be terminated due to an inmate being transferred or released or an employee leaving the agency. The SIU would be called to a potential crime scene to preserve and collect evidence. A review of training documents confirmed that all investigators received instruction in conducting sexual assault investigations in a confinement setting. Interviews with staff, the investigators and an examination of policy/supporting documentation, confirm compliance with this standard.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

115.31 (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee’s facility?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

115.31 (c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

115.31 (d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.1.D.1, Staff Training Requirements, addresses the requirement of this standard. All employees of MDSP receive training on the agency’s Zero Tolerance Policy, 1.3.E.5 for sexual abuse and sexual harassment at pre-service and during annual refresher training sessions. Staff are required to sign an acknowledgement form indicating they understand the training they have received. All staff carry an embossed reference card detailing their duties and responsibilities related to the PREA. General staff meetings are also held, addressing PREA issues. All staff interviewed stated that they received the required PREA training. Staff training files were reviewed and contained documentation supporting compliance to this standard. Informational bulletins are provided daily to staff by the Warden and include any revisions to policy and/or procedures. The training provided and staff knowledge of PREA requirements confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard.

**Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  Does Not Meet Standard *(requires corrective action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.1.D.1, Staff Training Requirements, addresses the requirement of this standard. All volunteers and contractors of MDSP receive training on the agency’s Zero Tolerance Policy, 1.3.E.5, for sexual abuse and sexual harassment at pre-service and during annual refresher training sessions. All training is documented. Volunteers and contractors are required to sign an acknowledgement form indicating they understand the training they have received. An interview was conducted with four contractors and three volunteers. All were knowledgeable of their responsibilities related to PREA. A review of training files also confirmed compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (c)

Have all inmates received such education? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate’s new facility differ from those of the previous facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (d)

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (e)

Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (f)

In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, and 1.31.4.B.16, PREA Institutional Risk Screens, address the requirements of this standard. Inmates receive information at the time of intake processing verbally, via video presentation, and a PREA pamphlet. Additional information is also provided in the inmate handbook or inmate living guide (provided at the time of intake in English/Spanish and Braille). Inmates are required to sign a form acknowledging receipt of the handbook. The form acknowledging receipt is documented in the electronic Comprehensive Offender Management System (COMS) and the inmate’s institutional file. Provisions are in place to meet the needs of all disabled inmates. PREA information is also provided to inmates on tablets issued to inmates. The facility also provides brochures in Braille as well as interpretive services such as Language Line Solutions. Housing unit meetings between inmates and staff are conducted which allows ample opportunity for inmates to ask questions to discuss PREA. There are posters throughout the facility and in each housing unit and a “hotline” telephone number to call to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. These posters are provided in English and Spanish. Interviews with staff/inmates, as well as documentation review, confirm compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (b)
- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard. The SIU investigators have received training relevant to the PREA. Four investigators were interviewed and able to explain in detail the process and procedures required during a PREA-related investigation. A review of the training curriculum included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. In addition, the agency PREA Coordinator conducts two to three training sessions/meetings with all investigators and PREA Compliance Managers within the agency. A review of the training records confirmed completion of required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

**Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.35 (d)
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard. When required, both medical and mental health providers are available for immediate call back to the facility during off duty hours. The review of medical and mental health personnel training records by the auditor confirmed that these employees (part-time, full-time and contractors) receive the same PREA training as correctional officers and have a duty to report when they have knowledge of sexual abuse/assault, even when disclosed in the course of a health care encounter. Further review of training records confirmed that all mental health and medical staff have also received specialized training on victim identification, interviewing, evidence preservation, reporting and required clinical interventions. Training does not refer to certifications needed to conduct forensic examinations. All cases requiring the processing of sexual assault evidence collection kits are transported to a community hospital where Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners are available at all times. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed the provision of specialized training and that they are aware of their duty to report and address allegations and suspicions of sexual abuse/harassment. A review of the training documentation and policy also confirm compliance to this standard.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS
Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41 (a)

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener’s perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate’s own perception of vulnerability? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (e)

In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (f)

Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (g)

Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate’s detriment by staff or other inmates?
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy 1.4.B.16, PREA Institutional Risk Screens, addresses the requirement of this standard. The facility uses the PREA Inmate Admission Screen and the PREA Admission Review Screen to determine an inmate’s potential vulnerability to a sexual assault or risk of sexually abusive behavior. All inmates admitted to MDSP have an Initial PREA Risk Screen Assessment completed by unit staff trained to administer the screen within 72 hours of an inmate’s arrival at the facility. Interviews with staff indicated they are almost always seen the first day of intake. The admission screen includes the inmate’s demographics, results of an NCIC background, sentencing and Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) information (if available), classification and assessment information, any documented/known institutional behavior or other relevant information. Inmates identified as high risk for sexual victimization or at risk of sexually abusing other inmates would be referred to a mental health professional for further assessment. Staff review all relevant information from other facilities and continues to reassess when additional information is received within 30 days of arrival. Inmates are not
disciplined for refusing to answer any questions or for not disclosing complete information. Copies of all intake screening forms are forwarded to the appropriate medical/mental health practitioner and the original forms are secured in Inmate Records with only designated staff allowed access to the files.

**Standard 115.42: Use of screening information**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.42 (a)**

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.42 (b)**

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.42 (c)**

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ☒ Yes ☐ No
When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (d)

Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (e)

Are each transgender or intersex inmate’s own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (f)

Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (g)

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.4.B.16, PREA Institutional Risk Screens, and 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, address the requirements of this standard. Risk screening information is used to determine housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Determinations for these assignments are made on a case-by-case basis. Staff members assigned to conduct intake screening have been provided additional training and resource materials. The agency (through a committee) decides whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates. The agency has no dedicated facilities for Transgender or Intersex inmates. The facility determines other housing and programming assignments for Transgender or Intersex inmates on a case-by-case basis, to include whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems. Placement and programming assignments for each Transgender or Intersex inmate are reassessed at least once every six months. Policy states that a Transgender or Intersex inmate’s own views with respect to his own safety is given serious consideration when making these assignments. Transgender and Intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower, dress and use toilet facilities separately from other inmates. Interviews with four self-identified Transgender inmates confirmed that the inmates were able to shower privately, are afforded other significant privacy and the inmate’s own views with respect to their safety was given serious consideration. The interview with the agency’s PREA Coordinator confirmed that a Transgender inmate’s genital status is not the sole criteria for placement in a specific facility. Interviews with staff and inmates (including Transgender inmates—the facility had no Intersex inmates), observations of housing assignments and unit activities, as well as an examination of documentation/policy, confirm that the facility is in compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.43: Protective Custody**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? ✗ Yes ☐ No
If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility’s concern for the inmate’s safety?  ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (e)
In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ **Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy states that inmates at high risk for sexual victimization or who are alleged to suffer from sexual abuse, will not be placed in involuntary administrative segregation/protective custody unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination made that there are no available alternatives for separation from likely abusers. If an assessment cannot be done immediately the facility may hold the inmate in involuntary segregation for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment. Inmates placed in segregation for this purpose will have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities. If it is necessary to restrict such activities the reasons for restrictions and duration will be documented. If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility affords the inmate a review every 30 days to determine if there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. Interviews with staff and a review of records revealed that there have been no inmates placed in involuntary administrative segregation/protective custody status during the past year.

**REPORTING**

**Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)
Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (b)

Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (c)

Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (d)

Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, the Inmate’s Guide – Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Awareness pamphlet, and the Inmate Living Guide address the requirements of this standard. A review of documentation and staff/inmate interviews revealed that there are multiple ways including verbally, in writing, anonymously, privately, and from a third party for inmates to report sexual abuse/harassment. Staff documents all allegations. Staff are trained to immediately report and document any sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. Inmates housed at the facility are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes. There are posters and other documents on display throughout the facility (observed by the auditor) that also explain reporting methods. The facility also provides a posting explaining at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency. Through a Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU), that private entity or office is able and obligated to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials. The private entity or office allows the inmate to remain anonymous upon request, by the MOU. Reporting methods are also printed on the back of all inmate identification cards. Interviews with staff/inmates; observations of posters addressing reporting methods; and an examination of policy, documentation, and MOU’s confirm compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.  ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115.52 (c)</td>
<td>Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.52 (d)</td>
<td>Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.52 (e)</td>
<td>Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

115.52 (g)

If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.2, Administrative Remedy for Inmates, and 1.3. E.6 PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment address the requirements of this standard. The agency has an administrative procedure for dealing with inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. Inmates are not required to use an informal grievance process or otherwise attempt to resolve an alleged incident of sexual abuse with staff. There are no time limits when sexual abuse allegations can be made. Inmates are able to submit grievances without giving the document to the staff person involved. The standard requires a decision be made on the merits of any grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days. This agency’s policy requires a response time of 30 days. Policy and procedures permits third parties to assist inmates in filing request for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse and are also permitted to file such requests on behalf of an inmate. Policy and procedures are in place that allow for an inmate to file an emergency grievance alleging that there is substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Any grievance received by staff alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse will be reported to the OIC (Officer in Charge) at which point immediate corrective action may be taken. The initial response is completed before the end of the shift of when the incident was reported and the final agency decision within five days. There were no grievances alleging sexual abuse/harassment filed within the last twelve months. Interviews with staff (including the Grievance Coordinator) and inmates and an examination of supporting policy/documentation confirm compliance with this standard.

**Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard. Inmates have access to victim advocate/rape crisis centers for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility has entered into an MOU agreement with the Rapid City Working Against Violence and the Springfield/Yankton River City Domestic Violence Centers to provide services relevant to this standard including confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility has postings by the telephones that provide instructions for the toll free, speed dial telephone number to the crisis centers and crime-stopper hot lines, in addition to mailing addresses for these organizations. Information regarding the level of confidentiality is given to all inmates during their orientation to the MDSP. The facility provides training to the victim advocates regarding responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures, including the zero-tolerance policy and how to report such incidents. Interviews with staff of the crisis centers revealed that there had been no reports of sexual abuse from the facility in the previous twelve months.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.54 (a)

- Has the agency established a d? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has developed a pamphlet entitled “A Family & Friends Guide – Sexual Abuse Sexual Harassment Awareness”. Copies of the pamphlet were available for inmate visitors in the visiting rooms of the facility. The pamphlet provides in great detail the methods one may choose to report sexual abuse/harassment and who reports can be made to including advocacy groups that the agency has entered into MOU’s with. Telephone contact numbers are listed for the groups and for all facilities operated by the agency. Third party reporting procedures are also explained on the agency’s website.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility’s designated investigators? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s
conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, 1.3. E.6 PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, and 1.1.C.2 Staff Supervision of Offenders address the requirements of the standard. All staff, contractors, and volunteers are required to report any information regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment or any staff neglect, action or violation that may contribute to an incident or an act of retaliation. The reporting is ordinarily made to the OIC, but could be made privately or to a third party (Advocacy Groups with approved MOUs). Policy requires the information concerning the identity of the alleged inmate victim and the specific facts of the case to be shared with staff who need-to-know because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and/or the investigation of the incident. Interviews with staff, contractors, and volunteers confirmed they were aware of their reporting duties. Additional compliance with all aspects of the standard was verified through document and policy review. The facility does not house inmates under the age of 18.

**Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐  **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement this standard. Policy states that when the agency learns an inmate is subject
to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the inmate. Staff interviewed stated their duties and responsibilities if they were aware of an inmate being sexually abused or harassed and they would act immediately to protect the inmate. Staff are issued a pocket sized PREA guide booklet outlining all actions to be taken. The staff stated they would separate inmates, secure the scene, protect possible evidence, not allow inmates to destroy possible evidence and contact their supervisor and medical staff. In the previous twelve months there were no reported incidents of an inmate being subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.

**Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.63 (a)</strong></td>
<td>Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.63 (b)</strong></td>
<td>Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.63 (c)</strong></td>
<td>Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.63 (d)</strong></td>
<td>Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, states that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden will notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where the sexual abuse allegedly occurred. Policy requires such notification will occur as soon as possible and no later than 72 hours of receiving the allegation. Documentation is required of any such notification. There have been no allegations of an inmate being sexually abused while confined at another facility during the previous twelve months. Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, mandates that an allegation of sexual abuse received from other facilities are investigated in accordance with the PREA standard. There have been no allegations of sexual abuse that was claimed to have occurred at MDSP from an inmate housed at another facility in the previous twelve months. Compliance with this standard was verified by reviewing policy and interviews with the Warden, four investigators, and the PREA Compliance Manager.

**Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.64 (b)
If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy outlines duties, procedures and action for first responders to an allegation of sexual abuse. The PREA training lesson plan outlines the duties and responsibilities for first responders and medical staff in the event an inmate is sexually abused. Inmates may report acts of sexual assault, abuse, or harassment to any employee, contract employee, or volunteer. Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, if the responder is a correctional officer: 1) separate; 2) preserve and protect the crime scene; 3) if the time allows for the collection of physical evidence, make appropriate request for it; 4) if the abuse occurred within a time period that allows for physical evidence, ensure the alleged abuser does not destroy evidence. First responders interviewed were knowledgeable about their duties and responsibilities. All staff were carrying an embossed card as reference to direct them as to their responsibilities as a first responder to an allegation of a PREA incident. There have been eight allegations of sexual abuse within the previous twelve months directly reported to staff, requiring first responder actions all of which were responded to by staff. The allegations were received after a time period that would have allowed for the collection of physical evidence. Compliance with this standard was determined through staff interviews and policy review.

**Standard 115.65: Coordinated response**

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

**115.65 (a)**
Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy describes the duties of the first responder, medical and mental health staff, investigators, and facility leadership in coordinating actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse. Interviews with staff and a review of policy and the facility’s “Sexual Incident Protocol and Reference Book” confirm compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

☐ Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.66 (b)

☒ Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The interview with the agency PREA Coordinator revealed that there have been no collective bargaining agreements entered into or renewed since August 2012. South Dakota Department of Corrections is non-union facility. Interviews confirmed any agreements that may be entered into would not hamper the agency’s commitment to protect inmates through any disciplinary action of a staff member, including reprimand, suspension, demotion, discharge or otherwise discipline employees with proper cause.

**Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (c)
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (d)

- In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (f)
Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy specifically prohibits any type of retaliation to any inmate or staff member who has reported sexual abuse, sexual harassment or has cooperated with such investigations. The PREA Compliance Manager/Unit Manager is the designated staff member that monitors the conduct or treatment of inmates and/or staff members who have reported sexual abuse to insure any type of retaliation does not occur. When interviewed, she stated she would document and follow up on all potential cases to ensure policy is being enforced and conduct periodic status checks on the frequency of incident reports, housing reassignments, program changes, any negative consequences for reporting abuse and negative performance reviews/staff job reassignments (all steps required of 115.67c). If there was a concern that there was the potential for possible retaliation, the PREA Compliance Manager stated she would monitor the situation indefinitely. The monitoring of any type of retaliation will be done for at least 90 days or as long as needed to make sure the inmate is safe from retaliation or the inmate is transferred. Policy outlines the protection measures available and requires the prompt remediation of any type of retaliation. There have been no incidents of retaliation occurring within the previous twelve months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)
- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirements of this standard and states the placement of inmates, who allege to have suffered sexual abuse, in involuntary segregation housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made. The use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of §115.43. There have been no inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse, held in involuntary segregation during the previous twelve months. Compliance with this standard was determined through review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

**INVESTIGATIONS**

**Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA
115.71 (b)  
- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (c)  
- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes ☐ No  
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes ☐ No  
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (d)  
- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (e)  
- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as inmate or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No  
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (f)  
- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No  
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (g)  
- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (h)
- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (i)
- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (j)
- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (k)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)
- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, and 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, address the procedures for administrative and criminal investigations. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, are promptly, thoroughly and objectively investigated. When sexual abuse is alleged, the agency uses investigators who have received specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement
setting. Investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data. Investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and any other possible witnesses. Investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. The agency only conducts compelled interviews after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution. The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by the person’s status as inmate or staff. The agency does not require an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth assessment device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation.

All administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. Administrative investigations are documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. Criminal investigations are documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual assault or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. The agency does ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, the facility cooperates with outside investigators and endeavors to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. The review of twenty case files of inmates alleging sexual abuse/harassment revealed that all investigations were completed promptly, thoroughly and in compliance with policy. No were no open cases at the time of the audit. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation, investigations and interviews with four investigators.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. The evidence standard is a “preponderance of the evidence” in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. The investigators interviewed were aware of the evidence standard.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate’s allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate’s allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate’s unit? ☑ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☑ Yes ☐ No
Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (d)

Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (e)

Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. After the completion an investigation into an inmate’s allegation that he suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, the facility informs the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. A review of documentation confirmed that in all instances, the inmates were informed in writing regarding the results of each investigation. When the allegation involves staff, the inmate would be informed if the staff member is no longer posted within their housing unit, is no longer employed by the facility, if the staff member was indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learned that the staff member was convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse. These findings would be communicated to the inmate if the investigation was completed by an outside agency. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy and staff interviews.

### DISCIPLINE

**Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.76 (a)
- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.76 (b)
- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.76 (c)
- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.76 (d)
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. Staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary action for staff who engage in sexual abuse. Discipline would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. Policy states that all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are to be reported to a law enforcement agency and relevant licensing boards. There have been no substantiated cases of staff engaging in sex with inmates in the previous twelve months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.77 (b)
In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy mandates that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse of an inmate will be reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to relevant licensing bodies. Policy also requires that appropriate remedial measures are taken and consideration as to whether to prohibit contractors/volunteers from further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Volunteers and contractors have all been trained and are aware of these policies. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, a review of volunteer/contractor training files, volunteer/contractor interviews, and staff interviews.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)

☒ Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (b)
- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (g)

- Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s
conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, addresses the requirement of this standard. Policy states that inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. Information on inmate disciplinary sanctions is provided as part of the facility orientation process upon entry into the facility. The facility prohibits all sexual activity between inmates. Sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances, subject's prior history, and sanctions imposed for comparable offenses. The inmates’ mental health is also considered. Inmates may be disciplined for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. The facility does not discipline inmates who make an allegation in good faith, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. Interviews with investigators and review of policy confirm compliance with this standard.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
  ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
  ☒ Yes □ No □ NA

115.81 (c)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure
that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.81 (d)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

### 115.81 (e)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- ☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.4.B.16, PREA Institutional Risk Screens, and Correctional Health Services (CHS) P-B-05, Procedure in the Event of a Sexual Assault, address the requirements of this standard. Interviews with medical and specialized staff indicated the facility has a thorough system for collecting medical and mental health information and has the capacity to provide continued reassessment and follow-up services. All inmates who have experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, pursuant to the standard are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. If the screening indicates that an inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, the inmate is referred to a mental health practitioner within 14 days of arrival for possible inclusion in the Sex Offender Management Program (SOMP). Treatment services are offered without inmate financial costs. Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness...
that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioner and other staff as necessary for treatment plans and security and management decisions such as housing, bed, work, education and program assignments. Informed consent is obtained from an inmate before reporting about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. Staff interviews and review of policy confirmed compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?  ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.3.E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, and Correctional Health Services (CHS) P-B-05, Procedure in the Event of a Sexual Assault mandate that inmates who are victims of sexual abuse are offered immediate, unimpeded access to emergency treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by the medical and mental health practitioners, according to their professional judgement. The treatment is offered at no financial cost to the inmates. Policy requires medical and mental health departments maintain secondary information documenting time of service, emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided. Interviews with the medical and mental health practitioners confirmed the requirements of this standard. The facility has a memorandum of understanding with Avera Sacred Heart Hospital, River City Domestic Violence Center and the Working Against Violence Center to provide emergency care and crisis intervention services.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.83 (a)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.83 (b)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.83 (c)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 115.83 (d) |  |
- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.83 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- ☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Correctional Health Services (CHS) P-B-05, Procedure in the Event of a Sexual Assault address the requirements of this standard. The facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been the victim of sexual
abuse. The evaluation and treatment of sexual assault victims includes, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. The facility provides sexual assault victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care. Inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Treatment services are provided to the victim free of cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. The facility conducts a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. Compliance with this standard was determined through interviews with medical and mental health practitioners and a review of policy.

### DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

#### Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.86 (a)**
- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.86 (b)**
- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.86 (c)**
- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.86 (d)**
- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.86 (e)

Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐  **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard and requires that each facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The review is conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the sexual abuse investigation. The review team includes upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical and/or mental health practitioners. The review team considers whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse in each review. The review team also considers whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility. The review team examines the area in the facility where an incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse. The review team assesses
the adequacy of staffing levels in the area of the facility where a sexual abuse incident allegedly occurred, during different shifts. The review team also assesses whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff on each incident. The review team prepares a report of its findings and any recommendations for improvement and submits the report to the Warden. Compliance with this standard was determined through interviews with three incident review team members, a review of policy, and a review of incident review team reports.

**Standard 115.87: Data collection**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (a)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (b)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (c)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (d)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (e)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.87 (f)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)</td>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, and 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, address the requirements of this standard. The PREA Coordinator collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The PREA Coordinator aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data annually. The incident-based data includes the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The PREA Coordinator maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The PREA Coordinator also obtains incident-based and aggregated data from every other facility with which it contracts for the confinement of inmates. Upon request, the agency provides all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30. Compliance with this standard was also determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.88 (b)

Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.88 (c)

Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.88 (d)

Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policies 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, and 1.3. E.6, PREA Response and Investigation of Sexual Abuse/Harassment, address the requirements of this standard. The PREA Coordinator reviews data collected and aggregated to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including: identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and by preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. The agency’s annual report includes a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an
assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse. The agency’s annual report is approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through the agency’s website. The agency identifies the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. Compliance with this standard was also determined by a review of policy/documentation and staff interviews.

**Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.89 (a)
- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

### 115.89 (b)
- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

### 115.89 (c)
- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

### 115.89 (d)
- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ **Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- ☒ **Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 1.3.E.5, PREA Compliance with Prison Rape Elimination Act Standards, addresses the requirement of this standard. The agency ensures that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 is securely retained. The agency’s Office of Grants and Research, PREA section, is responsible for collecting and maintaining this data. The agency makes all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website. The agency removes all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available. The agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.

### AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

#### Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.401 (a)**

- During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**115.401 (b)**

- During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.401 (h)**

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.401 (i)**

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.401 (m)**

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

All South Dakota DOC facilities have received at least one PREA audit since August 20, 2012. At least one-third of all South Dakota DOC facilities were audited during the one year period after August 20, 2012. During the audit, the auditor toured the entire facility, was allowed to interview inmates and staff privately and was provided supporting documentation before and during the audit. Notifications of the audit posted throughout the facility permitting inmates to send confidential letters to the auditor prior to the audit.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility’s last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has posted all audit reports on its website within ninety days of completion.
I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Glynn Maddox ___________________________ May 14, 2018

Auditor Signature               Date

¹ See additional instructions here: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110